View on rigaku.com

B-XRD1142 - Quantitative analysis of crystal polymorphs by the DD method

Introduction

In the Direct Derivation (DD) method, quantitative analysis is performed using the sum of the X-ray intensities scattered from each crystalline phase and the chemical composition information ^{(1), (2)}. In some fields, such as pharmaceuticals, many compounds are not listed in databases. In these cases, it is difficult to calculate quantitative values using conventional methods, and thus quantitative analysis using the DD method is considered effective. In this example, each crystalline phase of a compound having different polymorphic forms was measured, and then quantitative analysis of a mixture using the results from the pure forms was performed.

Measurements and results

The pure substances of crystal polymorphs I, II, and III and their mixture were placed into zero-background sample holders. The X-ray diffraction measurements were performed using the para-focusing method.

The measurement conditions are as follows: wavelength: Cu K α , X-ray tube output: 45 kV-200 mA, 20: 3 to 40°, speed: 10°/min

A d-I list was created from the X-ray diffraction profiles of crystal polymorphs I, II, and III. Then the X-ray diffraction profile of the mixture was fitted using the Rietveld method. Figure 1 shows the results of this fitting. Residual intensities were observed at $2\theta = 13.9^{\circ}$, 18.7° , and 21.6° due to peaks of polymorph I. This suggests that polymorph I has preferred orientation in the sample holder. In addition, the R_{wp} and S values, which are the indicators of good fitting, were calculated as 11.4% and 6.16, respectively.

In order to take preferred orientation into account, the analysis was performed using the DD method, which combines Pawley fit and the method using measurement profile shapes. Figure 2 shows the result of fitting the X-ray diffraction profile of the mixture with the DD method. Compared to Figure 1, the residual intensities are reduced and the R_{wp} and S values are calculated as 3.60% and 2.09, respectively.

The above results prove that the DD method is better-suited than the Rietveld method for the quantitative analysis of crystalline phases that are not listed in databases and are easily oriented.



Figure 1. The results of fitting and quantitative analysis of mixture using the Rietveld method



Figure 2. The results of fitting and quantitative analysis of mixture using the DD method

References

- (1) H. Toraya: *Rigaku Journal* (English version), **34**(1) (2018) 3-8.
- (2) H. Toraya: Rigaku Journal (English version), 35(2) (2019) 27-34.

Related products



MiniFlex

New sixth-generation general purpose benchtop XRD syste m for phase i.d and phase quantification



SmartLab

Advanced state-of-the-art high-resolution XRD system powe red by Guidance expert system software





SmartLab SE

Highly versatile multipurpose X-ray diffractometer with built -in intelligent guidance

SmartLab Studio II

Windows-based software suite for Rigaku's X-ray diffractom eters